2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill – CCR Unit ID 113 Miami Fort Power Station 11021 Brower Road North Bend, Ohio 45052

Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC

January 31, 2019



JANUARY 31, 2019 | PROJECT #70094

2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill – CCR Unit ID 113 Miami Fort Power Station North Bend, Ohio

> Prepared for: Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC

RACHEL A. BANOFF Environmental Engineer

NIKKI PAGANO, PE, PG Senior Managing Engineer



MIAMI FORT LAWRENCEBURG ROAD LANDFILL 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figuresi
List of Tablesi
List of Appendicesi
Acronyms and Abbreviationsii
Section 1: Introduction
Section 2: Monitoring and Corrective Action Program Status2
Section 3: Key Actions Completed in 2018
Section 4: Problems Encountered and Actions to Resolve the Problems
Section 5: Key Activities Planned for 20195
References

LIST OF FIGURES

	Figure 1	Groundwater Sampling Well Location Map	
--	----------	--	--

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1Statistical Background ValuesTable 2Appendix III Analytical Results

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Alternate Source Demonstration



MIAMI FORT LAWRENCEBURG ROAD LANDFILL 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASD	Alternate Source Demonstration
CCR	Coal Combustion Residuals
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
mg/L	milligrams per liter
NRT/OBG	Natural Resource Technology, an OBG Company
OBG	O'Brien & Gere Engineers, part of Ramboll
SSI	Statistically Significant Increase
S.U.	Standard Units
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids

Miamit



SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC by O'Brien & Gere Engineers, part of Ramboll (OBG), to provide the information required by the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) found in 40 CFR 257.90(e) for the Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill located near the Miami Fort Power Station and approximately one mile west of North Bend, Ohio.

In accordance with 40 CFR § 257.90(e), the owner or operator of an existing Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) unit must prepare an annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report, for the preceding calendar year, that documents the status of the groundwater monitoring and corrective action program for the CCR unit, summarizes key actions completed, describes any problems encountered, discusses actions to resolve the problems, and projects key activities for the upcoming year. At a minimum, the annual report must contain the following information, to the extent available:

- 1. A map, aerial image, or diagram showing the CCR unit and all background (or upgradient) and downgradient monitoring wells, to include the well identification numbers, that are part of the groundwater monitoring program for the CCR unit.
- 2. Identification of any monitoring wells that were installed or decommissioned during the preceding year, along with a narrative description of why those actions were taken.
- 3. In addition to all the monitoring data obtained under §§ 257.90 through 257.98, a summary including the number of groundwater samples that were collected for analysis for each background and downgradient well, the dates the samples were collected, and whether the sample was required by the detection monitoring or assessment monitoring programs.
- 4. A narrative discussion of any transition between monitoring programs (e.g., the date and circumstances for transitioning from detection monitoring to assessment monitoring in addition to identifying the constituent(s) detected at a statistically significant increase over background levels).
- 5. Other information required to be included in the annual report as specified in §§ 257.90 through 257.98¹.

This report provides the required information for the Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill for calendar year 2018.



¹ For calendar year 2018, corrective action and other information required to be included in the annual report as specified in §§ 257.96 through 257.98 is not applicable.

SECTION 2: MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PROGRAM STATUS

Detection Monitoring Program sampling event dates and parameters collected are provided in the detection monitoring program summary table below. One sample was collected from each background and downgradient well in the monitoring system during each sampling event. Analytical data was evaluated after each event in accordance with the Statistical Analysis Plan, Miami Fort Power Station, Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC (NRT/OBG, 2017) to identify any statistically significant increases (SSIs) of Appendix III parameters over background concentrations. The dates the SSIs were evaluated are provided in the detection monitoring program summary table below.

Sampling Dates	Parameters Collected	SSIs	ASD Completion
November 14 and 15, 2017	Appendix III	Yes	April 10, 2018
May 7, 2018	Appendix III	No	Not Applicable
November 13 and 14, 2018	Appendix III	To Be Determined	To Be Determined

Potential alternate sources were evaluated as outlined in the 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2). An alternate source demonstration (ASD) was completed and certified by a qualified professional engineer. The date the ASD was completed is provided in the detection monitoring program summary table. The ASD is included in Appendix A.

Statistical background values are provided in Table 1. Analytical results from the events summarized in the detection monitoring program summary table above are included in Table 2.

The Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill remains in the Detection Monitoring Program in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.94.

OBG, PART OF RAMBOLL | JANUARY 31, 2019



SECTION 3: KEY ACTIONS COMPLETED IN 2018

Two groundwater monitoring events were completed in 2018 under the Detection Monitoring Program. These events occurred in May and November, and are detailed in Section 2. All samples were collected and analyzed in accordance with the Sampling and Analysis Plan (AECOM, 2017). All monitoring data obtained under 40 CFR §§ 257.90 through 257.98 (as applicable) in 2018 are presented in Table 2.

The groundwater monitoring system, including the CCR unit and all background and downgradient monitoring wells, is presented in Figure 1.



SECTION 4: PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND ACTIONS TO RESOLVE THE PROBLEMS

No problems were encountered with the groundwater monitoring program during 2018. Groundwater samples were collected and analyzed in accordance with the Sampling and Analysis Plan (AECOM, 2017), and all data was accepted.

Miamiront



SECTION 5: KEY ACTIVITIES PLANNED FOR 2019

The following key activities are planned for 2019:

- Continuation of the Detection Monitoring Program with semi-annual sampling scheduled for the first and third quarters of 2019.
- Complete evaluation of analytical data from the downgradient wells, using background data to determine whether an SSI of Appendix III parameters over background concentrations has occurred.
- If an SSI is identified, potential alternate sources (i.e., a source other than the CCR unit caused the SSI or that SSI resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality) will be evaluated. If an alternate source is demonstrated to be the cause of the SSI, a written demonstration will be completed within 90 days of SSI determination and included in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report for 2019.
 - » If an alternate source(s) is not identified to be the cause of the SSI, the applicable requirements of 40 CFR §§ 257.94 through 257.98 (e.g., assessment monitoring) as may apply in 2019 will be met, including associated recordkeeping/notifications required by 40 CFR §§ 257.105 through 257.108.

OBG, PART OF RAMBOLL | JANUARY 31, 2019



REFERENCES

AECOM, 2017, Sampling and Analysis Plan, CCR Rule Groundwater Monitoring, Lawrenceburg Road Landfill, Unit 113, Miami Fort Power Station, Cleves, Ohio, Job Number 60442412, Revision 0, October 17, 2017.

Natural Resource Technology, an OBG Company, 2017, Statistical Analysis Plan, Miami Fort Power Station, Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC, October 17, 2017.

Miamiront



MIAMI FORT LAWRENCEBURG ROAD LANDFILL 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Figures





MIAMI FORT LAWRENCEBURG ROAD LANDFILL 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Tables



Table 1. Statistical Background Values

2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

Miami Fort Power Station

Unit ID 113 - Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill

Parameter	Statistical Background Value	
Арре	ndix III	
Boron (mg/L)	5.67	
Calcium (mg/L)	185.7	
Chloride (mg/L)	516	
Fluoride (mg/L)	0.275	
pH (S.U.)	6.6 / 8	
Sulfate (mg/L)	322	
TDS (mg/L)	1062	

[O: KLS 8/15/18, C: RAB 8/28/18]

Notes:

mg/L = milligrams per liter

S.U. = Standard Units

TDS = Total Dissolved Solids



Table 2. Appendix III Analytical Results

2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report

Miami Fort Power Station

Unit ID 113 - Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill

Sample Location	Date Sampled	B, total (mg/L)	Ca, total (mg/L)	Cl, total (mg/L)	F, total (mg/L)	pH (field) (S.U.)	SO4, total (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)
Background	/ Upgradient I	Monitoring	Wells					
	11/15/2017	2.48	90.7	4.3	<1	7.1	150	462
MW-5	5/7/2018	<5	101	7.58	<1	7.3	164	523
	11/13/2018	2.39	116	51.9	<5	7.2	<250	584
	11/15/2017	<0.08	130	247	<1	6.8	<250	841
MW-13	5/7/2018	<1	101	201	<1	7.0	36.1	833
	11/13/2018	<1	124	213	<10	7.3	40.7	778
Downgradie	nt Monitoring	Wells						
	11/15/2017	0.0956	107	16.0	<1	7.0	29.6	439
MW-8	5/7/2018	<1	114	20.6	<1	7.1	30.5	484
	11/13/2018	<1	102	142	<10	7.0	<100	406
	11/15/2017	0.106	147	109	<1	6.7	<50	683
MW-9	5/7/2018	<1	132	107	<1	7.0	42.1	693
	11/14/2018	<1	113	66.1	<1	6.9	<50	586
	11/14/2017	<0.08	103	14.9	<1	6.7	<50	447
MW-11	5/7/2018	<1	111	18.2	<1	7.0	39.9	496
	11/13/2018	<1	111	<30	<10	7.0	<50	454
	11/14/2017	<0.08	139	10.4	<1	6.7	<50	524
MW-12	5/7/2018	<1	131	16.2	<1	7.1	55.2	542
	11/14/2018	<1	143	12.7	<1	6.9	<50	555
	11/14/2017	0.181	95.9	33.3	<1	6.8	<50	416
MW-14	5/7/2018	<1	98.5	32.0	<1	7.3	<50	424
	11/14/2018	<1	100	42.9	<1	7.2	<50	408
	11/14/2017	<0.08	102	20.8	<1	6.8	<50	403
MW-15	5/7/2018	<1	91.5	20.1	<1	7.2	<50	395
	11/14/2018	<1	112	15.2	<1	7.0	34.6	460

[O: RAB 12/27/18, C: JQW 12/27/18][U: RAB 1/23/19]

Notes:

mg/L = milligrams per liter

S.U. = Standard Units

TDS = Total Dissolved Solids

< = concentration is less than the reporting limit





Alternate Source Demonstration



April 10, 2018

This alternate source demonstration has been prepared on behalf of Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC by O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. (OBG) to provide pertinent information for an alternate source demonstration as allowed by 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2) for the Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill, which is located near the Miami Fort Power Station and approximately one mile west of North Bend, Ohio.

Initial background groundwater monitoring consisting of a minimum of eight samples as required under 40 CFR § 257.94(b) was initiated in November 2015 and completed prior to October 17, 2017. The first semi-annual detection monitoring sample was collected on November 14-15, 2017. Comparison of background groundwater quality with parameters monitored in downgradient wells during the November 2017 detection monitoring program sampling event indicated that downgradient parameters were within the background prediction limits for each parameter at each monitoring well, except for the following statistically significant increase (SSI):

PH lower than the background prediction interval at wells MW-9, MW-11, MW-12, MW-14, and MW-15

40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2) allows the owner or operator 90 days from the date of an SSI determination to complete a written demonstration that a source other than the CCR unit caused the SSI or that the SSI resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality ("alternate source demonstration"). Pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2), the following demonstrates that the SSI for pH, as previously determined on January 10, 2018, resulted from sampling error. Specifically, the prediction limits calculated at that time were not representative of site conditions due to anomalous elevated pH measurements that were included in the background data set. The elevated pH measurements were due to equipment malfunction during the March 2017 sampling event.

The elevated pH measurements were identified during the alternate source demonstration evaluation process, after statistical limits were established in accordance with the Statistical Analysis Plan¹, and the pH SSI determinations were initially determined. Anomalous pH measurements were identified for the March 2017 sampling event, ranging between 0.5-2.5 S.U. greater than other sampling events for both background and downgradient wells (Figure 1). The Grubb's outlier test was used to confirm that the elevated pH measurements for the March 2017 sampling event were statistical outliers. The Grubbs' Outlier Analysis Results Report is provided in Attachment A.

Equipment malfunction was suspected to be the cause of the anomalous measurements. The sampling team was contacted and it was confirmed that the pH probe had malfunctioned.

The anomalous elevated pH measurements for the March 2017 sampling event were removed from the background data set and statistical limits were recalculated for pH (Figure 2). The revised upper and lower prediction limits for pH are 7.98 S.U. and 6.56 S.U., respectively.

¹ Natural Resource Technology, an OBG Company, Statistical Analysis Plan, Miami Fort Power Station, Dynegy Miami Fort, LLC, October 17, 2017.



Figure 1 - time series including anomalous pH measurements from March 2017 sampling event

Figure 2 - time series excluding anomalous pH measurements from March 2017 sampling event



The October 2017 pH measurements were within the corrected statistical limits; therefore, an SSI did not occur as initially determined. This information serves as the written alternate source demonstration prepared in accordance with 40 CFR § 257.94(e)(2) that the SSI observed during the detection monitoring program resulted from error in sampling and was not due to a release from the CCR unit. Therefore, an assessment monitoring program is not required and Miami Fort Lawrenceburg Road Landfill will remain in detection monitoring.

Attachment A Grubbs' Outlier Analysis Results Report

I, Richard H. Weber, a qualified professional engineer in good standing in the State of Ohio, certify that the information in this report is accurate as of the date of my signature below. The content of this report is not to be used for other than its intended purpose and meaning, or for extrapolations beyond the interpretations contained herein.

Richard H. Weber Qualified Professional Engineer 71678 Ohio O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. Date: April 10, 2018



I, Nicole M. Pagano, a qualified professional hydrogeologist, certify that the information in this report is accurate as of the date of my signature below. The content of this report is not to be used for other than its intended purpose and meaning, or for extrapolations beyond the interpretations contained herein.

Nicole M. Pagano Senior Managing Engineer O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. Date: April 10, 2018

40 CFR 257.94(E)(2): ALTERNATE SOURCE DEMONSTRATION MIAMI FORT LAWRENCEBURG ROAD LANDFILL

Attachment A

Grubbs' Outlier Analysis Results Report

Outlier Analysis Results

User Supplied Information

Date Range: 12/11/2015 to 11/15/2017	LT Multiplier: x 1.00
Confidence Level: 95%	Number of Outliers: One Outlier
Transform: None	

pH (field), STD Location: MW-11

Mean of all data: 7.284

Standard Deviation of all data: 0.704

Largest Observation Concentration of all data: Xn = 9.020

Test Statistic, high extreme of all data: Tn = 2.465

T Critical of all data: Tcr = 2.110

			Outlier	Outlier
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Low Side	High Side
12/11/2015	7.130	False		
03/23/2016	7.030	False		
06/23/2016	6.980	False		
09/15/2016	6.970	False		
12/20/2016	7.140	False	*	
03/06/2017	9.020	False		1
06/05/2017	7.690	False		
07/11/2017	6.900	False		
11/14/2017	6.700	False		

pH (field), STD

Location: MW-12

Mean of all data: 7.186 Standard Deviation of all data: 0.671 Largest Observation Concentration of all data: Xn = 8.850 Test Statistic, high extreme of all data: Tn = 2.482

T Critical of all data: Tcr = 2.110

			Outlier	Outlier
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Low Side	<u>High Side</u>
12/11/2015	7.240	False		
03/23/2016	6.910	False		
06/23/2016	6.830	False		
09/15/2016	6.880	False		
12/20/2016	6.960	False		
03/06/2017	8.850	False		1

Outlier Analysis Results

User Supplied Information

Date Range: 12/11/2015 to 11/15/2017			LT Multiplier: x 1.00		
Confidence Level: 95%				Number of Outliers: One Outlie	
Transform: None					
06/05/2017	7.490	False			
07/11/2017	6.840	False			
11/14/2017	6.670	False			
pH (field), STD Location: MW-13					
			A		
Mean of all data: 7.383	10		X		
Largest Observation Concentration	of all data:	$V_{n} = 0.020$			
Test Statistic high extreme of all d	of all uata. $r = 2.3$	All – 9.030 860			
Test Statistic, high extreme of all data: $Ter = 2.110$	ata. 111 – 2.3	,000			
1 entited of an add. Fer 2.110		•	Outlier	Outlier	
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Low Side	High Side	
12/11/2015	7.850	False			
03/23/2016	6.980	False			
06/23/2016	7.330	False			
09/15/2016	7.010	False			
12/20/2016	7.210	False			
03/06/2017	9.030	False		1	
06/05/2017	7.390	False			
07/11/2017	6.890	False			
11/15/2017	6.760	False			
pH (field), STD					
Location: NIW-14					
Mean of all data: 7.510					
Standard Deviation of all data: 0.69	00				
Largest Observation Concentration	of all data: 2	Xn = 9.130			
Test Statistic, high extreme of all da	ata: Tn = 2.3	347			
T Critical of all data: $Tcr = 2.110$			Outlier	Outlier	
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Low Side	High Side	
12/11/2015	7.170	False			
03/23/2016	7.370	False			
06/22/2016	7.120	False			

Outlier Analysis Results

User Supplied Information

Date Range: 12/11/2015 to 11/15/2017			LT Multiplier: x 1.	
Confidence Level: 95%				Number of Outliers: One Outlier
Transform: None				
09/15/2016	7.170	False		
12/20/2016	7.880	False		
03/06/2017	9.130	False		1
06/05/2017	7.760	False		
07/11/2017	7.160	False		
11/14/2017	6.830	False		
pH (field), STD				
Location: MW-15				
Mean of all data: 7.327				
Standard Deviation of all da	ta: 0.673			
Largest Observation Concen	ntration of all data: 2	Xn = 9.020		
Test Statistic, high extreme	of all data: $Tn = 2.5$	17		
T Critical of all data: $Tcr = 2$	2.110			
Counter Data	Valaa	LT Velue	Outlier	Outlier
<u>Sample Date</u> 12/11/2015	7 160	<u>L1_value</u> False	Low Side	<u>High Side</u>
03/23/2016	7.060	False		
06/22/2016	7.020	False		
09/15/2016	7 120	False		
12/20/2016	7 120	False		
03/06/2017	9 020	False		1
06/05/2017	7.640	False		
07/11/2017	6.980	False		
11/14/2017	6.820	False		
pH (field), STD				
Location: MW-5				
Mean of all data: 7.493				
Standard Deviation of all da	ta: 0.723			
Largest Observation Concen	ntration of all data: 2	Xn = 9.280		
Test Statistic, high extreme	of all data: $Tn = 2.4$	70		
T Critical of all data: $Tcr = 2$	2.110			
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Outlier Low Side	Outlier <u>High Side</u>

Outlier Analysis Results

User Supplied Information

Date Range: 12/11/2015 to 1	1/15/2017		LT Multiplier: x 1.00
Confidence Level: 95%			Number of Outliers: One Outlier
Transform: None			
12/11/2015	7.120 False		
03/23/2016	7.190 False		
06/23/2016	7.160 False		
09/15/2016	7.230 False		
12/20/2016	7.340 False		
03/06/2017	9.280 False		1
06/05/2017	7.960 False		
07/11/2017	7.080 False		
11/15/2017	7.080 False		
pH (field), STD			
Location: MW-8			
Mean of all data: 7.353			
Standard Deviation of all dat	a: 0.648		
Largest Observation Concen	tration of all data: $Xn = 8.940$		
Test Statistic, high extreme of	of all data: $Tn = 2.448$		
T Critical of all data: $Tcr = 2$.110		
Sample Date	Value IT Value	Outlier Low Side	Outlier High Side
12/11/2015	7.160 False	Low Side	<u>Higi Side</u>
03/23/2016	7.130 False		
06/23/2016	6.990 False		
09/15/2016	7.040 False		
12/20/2016	7.220 False		
03/06/2017	8.940 False		1
06/05/2017	7.790 False		
07/11/2017	6.960 False		

Outlier Analysis Results

User Supplied Information

Date Range: 12/11/2015 to 11/15/2017	LT Multiplier: x 1.00
Confidence Level: 95%	Number of Outliers: One Outlier
Transform: None	

pH (field), STD Location: MW-9

Mean of all data: 7.182				
Standard Deviation of all data: 0.714	4			
Largest Observation Concentration	of all data: 2	Xn = 8.950		
Test Statistic, high extreme of all da	ta: Tn = 2.4	77		
T Critical of all data: $Tcr = 2.110$				
			Outlier	Outlier
Sample Date	Value	LT_Value	Low Side	High Side
12/11/2015	7.020	False		
03/23/2016	6.980	False		
06/23/2016	6.810	False		
09/15/2016	6.830	False		
12/20/2016	6.990	False		
03/06/2017	8.950	False	•	1
06/05/2017	7.600	False		
07/11/2017	6.750	False		
11/15/2017	6.710	False		

